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## The electronics industry business model

1. Fluctuating production cycles
2. Outsourcing waves across groups of countries
3. Contract manufacturing and changing business relationships in the industry

## Just-in-time production model.

In order to stay competitive in such an industry, firms must "master[ing] this pace of change... (where) excess inventory or transit time, delays of expensive components, or any finished or semi-finished product containing them, anywhere in the value[e] chain, results in value[e] loss" (Curry and Kenney 2004: 114).

This affects who can participate in its global supply chains and how (under what conditions).

## Fluctuating production cycles

Fluctuating production cycles is a significant contributor to excessive working hours and overtime
This is because consumer electronic products, in particular mobile phones, have short life-cycles and a quick end-of-life period

Year 2007: After iPhone model unveiled, time to market was 6 months<br>Year 2012: After iPhone model unveiled, time to market was 2 weeks



## Suppliers can face:

- rapid increases in their time to market orders with little lead time or forewarning
- late orders or changes to orders that lead to quick ramp-ups in factories

In order to manage upswings in production, suppliers often increase overtime hours and hire temporary workers.
'High volume, low mix' manufacturing tends to face more high peak production cycles that can create excessive over-time

Comparison of quarterly sales of the iPad (beginning third quarter 2010), iPhone (beginning third quarter 2007), and iPad (beginning third quarter 2007).
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Source: http://aaplinvestors.net/stats/marketshare/ (accessed 16 June 2014)

# A study on electronics production in Vietnam (2016) shows varying peak times 

| Component or final product manufactured at <br> the factory | Peak months |
| :--- | :--- |
| PCBs for smartphones, DVD players, LDC screens | June to September |
| Camera modules for smartphones | September to December |
| Parts for printers | May to July |
| Wi-fi routers, headsets, mobile phones | July to September |
| Mobile phone antennas | November to February; July to August to coincide <br> with launch of Samsung's new products |
| Mobile phone cameras and parts | April to July (for Samsung) |

- During peak production periods, firms increased overtime hours, shifts, and hired temporary workers.
- At one factory, $100 \%$ of workers had overtime during peak production periods.


## Outsourcing waves across groups of countries

1970s - : Malaysia, Singapore, Taiwan, Thailand 1990s - : China, Indonesia, Philippines, Mexico
2000s - : Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania
2010s - : India, Vietnam

Figure 2.1: Standard wages of manufacturing workers in Asia, 2013 (US\$ per month)


Source: Matsuzaki, 2015, from Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO).


|  | Revenue <br> (USD <br> million, <br> 2015) | Employees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Foxconn | $136,122.8$ | 1.3 million <br> $(2015)$ |
| Flex | $26,147.9$ | 200,000 <br> $(2016)$ |
| Jabil Circuit | $17,899.2$ | 175,000 <br> $(2015)$ |
| Sanmina- | $6,374.54$ | 33,144 <br> $(2014)$ |
| SCl | $5,639.2$ | 25,000 <br> $(2015)$ |
| Celestica |  |  |


|  | Revenue (USD, 2016) | Employees <br> (2014) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apple | 214.23B | 92,600 |
| HewlettPackard | HP Inc.: <br> 48,238M <br> HP <br> Enterprise: $50.1 \mathrm{~B}$ | 317,500 |
| Dell | 54.9B | 108,800 |

Brands outsource 80\% of production to contract manufacturers (EC 2012)
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## Margins for brands also not growing



Source: SEC filings


## Changing business relationships in the electronics industry

Due to falling profit margins brands are moving away from commodity hardware consumer products and into high margin business services/cloud computing, storage and printers

- consolidating their supplier base
- outsourcing more to 1st tier suppliers
E.g. Hewlett-Packard broke up into two companies: HP Inc on hardware portfolio and HP Enterprise to rival IBM
$\rightarrow$ opens up opportunities for contract manufacturers to enter design for hardware and manufacturing innovation



## Foxconn's Top Deals

The deal to takeover Sharp is the company's second-biggest acquisition. Date

| Date <br> completed | Target | Value, excluding debt, in billions |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Chi Mei Optoelectronics* |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | Sharp (66\% stake) |  | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |
| 2006 | Premier Image Technology | 1.3 |  |
| 2004 | Ambit Microsystems | 1.0 |  |
| 2014 | Asia Pacific Telecom (15\%) | 0.4 |  |
| 2014 | SK C\&C (4.9\%) | 0.4 |  |



[^0]What do these changes in contract manufacturing mean for worker conditions?

As contract manufacturers diversify, e.g. to automative, footwear, and aerospace, will there be different outcomes?

As brands diversify away from first generation contract manufacturers, will they push second generation of contract manufacturers to better working conditions? Can there be an industry/scalar effect?


[^0]:    *Acquired by Innolux, whose controlling shareholder is Foxconn.
    Source: Dealogic
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